

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,084	10/29/2003	Henry J. Bergen	GEN001	, 5941	
30035	7590 05/03/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LARRY R. MEENAN		MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT			
1146 CHAUCER DRIVE GREENSBURG, PA 15601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671	3671	
			D. TELLIN ED 05/02/200	DATE MAN ED 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

T

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/696,084	BERGEN, HENRY J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan S Mammen	3671		

•	Examino	741 01111						
	Nathan S Mammen	3671						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST KEPLT WAS F	ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	. will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	••							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a								
NOTE: The proposed amendment changes claim								
arguments that the Office Action of 1/11/05 should in response to Applicant's amendment filed 10/1/0								
in response to Applicant's amendment filed 10/1/04. Applicant's amendment of 10/1/04 changed the claim limitations (compare that claim set with the original claim set), thus a rewording of the rejection would have been necessary no matter what statutory grounds the rejection was based on. Compare Office Action of 1/11/05 with the non-final office action of 6/28/04 - the examiner changed the rejection to note the addition of the limitation that the stubble was from a "cultivated" crop. If Applicant wanted solely to request reconsideration of the grounds of rejection, then Applicant should have filed a request for reconsideration and should have not amended the claims so as to require a change in the rejection. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	· ·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			•					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).						
13. Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_					



Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 04212005

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group \$330